Resolution No.: 16-96

Introduced: March 27, 2007

Adopted: April 17, 2007

COUNTY COUNCIL FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MARYLAND

By: Council President at the Request of the County Executive

SUBJECT: Amendment to the FY07-12 Capital Improvements Program and

Supplemental Appropriation 14-S07-CMCG-11 to the FY07 Capital Budget

Montgomery County Government

Department of Public Works & Transportation

Facility Planning: Bridges (No. 509132), \$1,160,000

Background

- 1. Section 307 of the Montgomery County Charter provides that any supplemental appropriation shall be recommended by the County Executive who shall specify the source of funds to finance it. The Council shall hold a public hearing on each proposed supplemental appropriation after at least one week's notice. A supplemental appropriation that would comply with, avail the County of, or put into effect a grant or a Federal, State or County law or regulation, or one that is approved after January 1 of any fiscal year, requires an affirmative vote of five Councilmembers. A supplemental appropriation for any other purpose that is approved before January 1 of any fiscal year requires an affirmative vote of six Councilmembers. The Council may, in a single action, approve more than one supplemental appropriation. The Executive may disapprove or reduce a supplemental appropriation, and the Council may reapprove the appropriation, as if it were an item in the annual budget.
- 2. Section 302 of the Montgomery County Charter provides that the Council may amend an approved capital improvements program at any time by an affirmative vote of no fewer than six members of the Council.
- 3. The Department of Public Works and Transportation has requested the following capital project appropriation increases:

Project	Project	Cost		Source
<u>Name</u>	Number	Element	Amount	of Funds
Facility Planning:				
Bridges	509132	PDS	<u>\$1,160,000</u>	
TOTAL		•	\$1,160,000	State Aid

- Resolution No.: 16-95
- 4. This increase is needed because the latest consultant cost estimates to proceed with the design of the five bridges are higher than programmed. The higher cost estimates are attributed to the extensive environmental impacts that need to be considered during the design of the bridges as well as the complex geometrical design elements identified by the consultant. However, the State has remaining unobligated funds for bridges in the current year, which will be made available to the County. Therefore, the project cost increase will be fully offset through State Aid reimbursements.
- 5. The County Executive has requested a supplemental appropriation in the amount of \$1,160,000 for Facility Planning: Bridges (No. 509132), and specifies that the source of funds will be State Aid.
- 6. Notice of public hearing was given and a public hearing was held.

Action

The County Council for Montgomery County, Maryland, approves the following action:

The FY07-12 Capital Improvements Program of the Montgomery County Government is amended as reflected on the attached project description form and a supplemental appropriation to the FY07 Capital Budget is approved as follows:

Project	Project	Cost		Source .
<u>Name</u>	<u>Number</u>	Element	Amount	of Funds
Facility Planning:				
Bridges	509132	PDS	<u>\$1,160,000</u>	
TOTAL			\$1,160,000	State Aid

This is a correct copy of Council action.

Linda M. Lauer, Clerk of the Council

Facility Planning: Bridges -- No. 509132

Category Agency

Transportation

Public Works & Transportation

Date Last Modified Required Adequate Public Facility March 19, 2007

NO

Planning Area Relocation Impact Countywide

None.

		Thru	Rem.	Total	1	<u>-</u>				1	Beyond
Cost Element	Total	FY06	FY06	6 Years	FY07	FY08	FY09	FY10	FY11:	FY12	6 Years
Planning, Design											
and Supervision	9,648	6,004	184	3,460	723	749	871	617	250	250	0
Land	117	117	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site Improvements					<u>_</u>						
and Utilities	62	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction	27	25	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	21	14	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0
Total	9,875	6,222	193	3,460	723	749	871	617	250	250	•

FUNDING SCHEDULE (\$000)

PAYGO	340	340	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G.O. Bonds	7,549	5,056	193	2,300	723	449	251	377	250	250	0
Federal Aid	811	811	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0
Land Sale	15	15	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
State Aid	1,160	0	0	1,160	0	300	620	240	0	0	0

ANNUAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (\$000)

DESCRIPTION

This ongoing project provides studies for bridge projects under consideration for inclusion in the CIP. Facility Planning serves as a transition stage for a project between identification of need and its inclusion as a stand-alone project in the CIP. Prior to the establishment of a stand-alone project, DPWT will complete a design which outlines the general and specific features required on the project. Selected projects range in type, but typically consist of upgrading deficient bridges so that they can safely carry all legal loads which must be accommodated white providing a minimum of two travel lanes. Facility Planning is a decision-making process to design bridges which are already identified as deficient. For a full description of the Facility Planning process, see the CIP Planning Section. Candidate projects currently included are listed in the "Other" section below.

Service Area

Countywide

JUSTIFICATION

There is continuing need for the development of accurate cost estimates and an exploration of alternatives for proposed projects. Facility planning costs for all projects which ultimately become stand-alone PDFs are included here. These costs will not be reflected in the resulting individual project. Future individual CIP projects which result from facility planning will each benefit from reduced planning and design costs.

Plans and Studies

Biennial inspections performed since 1987 have consistently shown that the bridges currently included in the project for design studies are in need of major rehabilitation or replacement. Pedestrian safety is considered during design of individual bridge projects

Cost Change

Increase cost due to higher than budgeted cost estimates based on actual bids received. The higher cost estimates are attributed to the extensive environmental impacts that need to be considered during the design as well as the complex geometrical design elements identified by the consultant. The cost increase will be fully offset by State Aid that will be made available to the County.

STATUS

Ongoing

OTHER

Candidates for this program are identified through the County Bienniał Bridge Inspection Program as being deficient, load restricted, or geometrically substandard. The Planning, Design, and Supervision costs for all bridge designs include all costs up to contract preparation. At that point, future costs and Federal aid will be included in stand-alone PDFs, *Expenditures will continue indefinitely, Candidate Projects:

Piney Meetinghouse Road Bridge #M-0021

Whites Ferry Bridge #M-0187

Whites Ferry Bridge #M-0189

Cedar Lane Bridge #M-0074

Talbot Avenue Bridge #M-0085 (Starting in FY08)

APPROPRIATION A	ND	
EXPENDITURE DAT	Α '	
Date First Appropriation	FY91	(\$000)
Initial Cost Estimate		124
First Cost Estimate		
Current Scope	FY08	9,875
Last FY's Cost Estimate		8,715
Present Cost Estimate		9,875
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Appropriation Request	FY08	1,609
Supplemental		
Appropriation Request	FY07	0
Transfer		0
Cumulative Appropriation		7,138
Expenditures/		
Encumbrances		6,556
Unencumbered Balance		582
Partial Closeout Thru	FY05	0
New Partial Closeout	FY06	0
Total Partial Closeout		0

COORDINATION

Maryland-Department of the Environment Maryland-Department of Natural Resources Maryland-National Capital Park and Plannning

Montgomery County Department of Permitting

Services

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Maryland State Highway Administration ederal Highway Administration

Utility Companies

Maryland Historic Trust

CSX Transportation

Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority

Rural/Rustic Roads Legislation

